General Circuit Realizing Compact Revocable Attribute-Based Encryption from Multilinear Maps

Pratish Datta

joint work with

Ratna Dutta and Sourav Mukhopadhyay

Department of Mathematics Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur Kharagpur-721302 India

> ISC 2015 9–11th September, 2015



Introduction

2 Preliminaries

3 Our RABE Constructions

4 Security





Introduction	Preliminaries	Our RABE Constructions	Security	Conclusion
Motivati	on			

- Attribute-based encryption (ABE) has been extensively deployed to realize complex access control functionalities in cloud environment.
- Two crucial requirements of ABE systems are:
 - $({\sf i})$ Expressiveness of the supported decryption policies
 - (ii) User revocation

Introduction	Preliminaries	Our RABE Constructions	Security	Conclusion
	ion			
Motivat	lon			

- While [GGH⁺13b], [BGG⁺14] presented ABE for arbitrary *polynomial-size* Boolean circuits of *unbounded* fan-out, they do not support revocation.
- In all the existing *revocable* ABE (RABE) systems the decryption policies were restricted to circuits of fan-out one, paving the way for a "back-tracking" attack.

[BGG⁺14]: Dan Boneh et al. In Advances in Cryptology–EUROCRYPT 2014.

[GGH⁺13b]: Sanjam Garg et al. In Advances in Cryptology–CRYPTO 2013.

Advantage of Direct Revocation in ABE

- The *direct* revocation technique controls revocation by specifying a revocation list directly during encryption.
- This method does not involve any additional proxy server or key update phase.
- Consequently, the non-revoked users remain unaffected and revocation can take effect instantly without requiring to wait for the expiration of the current time period.



- All currently available standard model RABE constructions supporting direct revocation mode follow the tree-based revocation mechanism of Naor et al. [NNL01].
- Consequently, the number of revocation controlling components in ciphertexts and decryption keys are $O(\hat{r} \log \frac{N_{\max}}{\hat{r}})$ and $O(\log N_{\max})$ respectively.
- $N_{\rm max}$ is the maximum number of users supported by the system and \hat{r} is the number of revoked users.

[NNL01]: Dalit Naor et al. In Advances in Cryptology-CRYPTO 2001.

- We apply the revocation technique introduced in [BGW05] and its improved variant [BWZ14] in the ABE setting.
- We propose two *direct* RABE schemes:
 - RABE-I: first to support general circuits and to feature constant number of revocation enforcing components in ciphertexts and decryption keys but public parameter size is linear to $N_{\rm max}$.
 - RABE-II: achieves similar properties with public parameter size logarithmic to $N_{\rm max}.$

[[]BGW05]: Dan Boneh et al. In Advances in Cryptology-CRYPTO 2005.

[[]BWZ14]: Dan Boneh et al. In Advances in Cryptology-CRYPTO 2014.

A (leveled) multilinear map consists of the following two algorithms:

- $\mathcal{G}^{\mathsf{MLM}}(1^{\lambda}, \kappa) \to \mathsf{PP}_{\mathsf{MLM}} = (\vec{\mathbb{G}} = (\mathbb{G}_1, \dots, \mathbb{G}_{\kappa}), g_1, \dots, g_{\kappa})$ where \mathbb{G}_i 's are groups each of prime order $p > 2^{\lambda}$, $g_i \in \mathbb{G}_i$ are canonical generators.
- $e_{i,j}(g \in \mathbb{G}_i, h \in \mathbb{G}_j) \to v \in \mathbb{G}_{i+j}$ (for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\}, i+j \leq \kappa$) such that

$$e_{i,j}(g_i^a, g_j^b) = g_{i+j}^{ab}$$

for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. We can also generalize e to multiple inputs as $e(\chi^{(1)}, \dots, \chi^{(t)}) = e(\chi^{(1)}, e(\chi^{(2)}, \dots, \chi^{(t)})).$



- We consider *monotone* and *layered* circuits with OR and AND gates having fan-in two.
- A circuit $f = (\ell, q, d, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathsf{GateType}).$
- Here, ℓ, q , and d respectively denote the length of the input, the number of gates, and depth of the circuit.
- Input = $\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, Gates = $\{\ell + 1, \ldots, \ell + q\}$, W = Input \cup Gates, and $\ell + q$ = the output wire.
- \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} : gates $\to W \setminus \{\ell + q\}$ are functions such that for all $w \in \text{Gates}$, $\mathbb{A}(w)$ and $\mathbb{B}(w)$ respectively identify w's first and second incoming wires. We consider $w > \mathbb{B}(w) > \mathbb{A}(w)$.



- GateType : Gates \rightarrow {AND, OR} defines a functions that identifies a gate as either an AND or an OR gate.
- depth : $W \to \{1, \ldots, d\}$ is a function such that depth(w) = 1, if $w \in \text{Input}$, and depth(w) = one plus the length of the shortest path from w to an input wire, otherwise. Since our circuit is layered, for all $w \in \text{Gates}$,

$$\operatorname{depth}(\mathbb{A}(w)) = \operatorname{depth}(\mathbb{B}(w)) = \operatorname{depth}(w) - 1.$$

• f(x) = evaluation of the circuit f on input $x \in \{0,1\}^{\ell}$, and $f_w(x) =$ value of wire w of f on x.

Introduction	Preliminaries	Our RABE Constructions	Security	Conclusion
RABE-I RABE.Setur	$\mathbf{p}(1^{\lambda}, \ell, d, N_{\max})$)		

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathsf{MLM}}(1^{\lambda}, \kappa = \ell + d + 1) \to \mathsf{PP}_{\mathsf{MLM}} = (\vec{\mathbb{G}} = (\mathbb{G}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbb{G}_{\kappa}), g_{1}, \ldots, g_{\kappa}). \\ \mathbf{\mathcal{O}}_{i,\beta} = g_{1}^{a_{i,\beta}} \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, \ell; \ \beta \in \{0,1\}, \text{ where } (a_{1,0}, a_{1,1}), \ldots, (a_{\ell,0}, a_{\ell,1}) \\ \in_{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}. \\ \mathbf{\mathcal{O}}_{j} = g_{1}^{\alpha^{(j)}} \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, N_{\max}, N_{\max} + 2, \ldots, 2N_{\max}, Y = g_{1}^{\gamma}, \\ Z = g_{d-1}^{\theta}, \ \Omega = g_{d+1}^{\alpha^{(N_{\max}+1)}\theta}, \text{ where } \alpha, \gamma, \theta \in_{\$} \mathbb{Z}_{p}. \end{array}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{\begin{subarray}{l} \label{eq:publish} \begin{subarray}{ll} {\tt Publish} \begin{subarray}{ll} {\tt PP} = \big({\tt PP}_{\sf MLM}, \{A_{i,\beta}\}_{i=1,\ldots,\ell;\beta\in\{0,1\}}, \\ \{\vartheta_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,N_{\max},N_{\max}+2,\ldots,2N_{\max}}, Y,Z,\Omega\big) \end{subarray} \end{suba$

• Generate key components for every wire w as follows:

• Input wire:
$$\mathcal{K}_w = e(A_{w,1}, g_1)^{r_w} = g_2^{r_w a_{w,1}}$$
.

• OR gate: Let
$$t = \mathsf{depth}(w)$$
. $\mu_w, \nu_w \in_{\$} \mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$\mathcal{K}_w = \left(K_{w,1} = g_1^{\mu_w}, K_{w,2} = g_1^{\nu_w}, K_{w,3} = g_t^{r_w - \mu_w r_{\mathbb{A}(w)}}, K_{w,4} = g_t^{r_w - \nu_w r_{\mathbb{B}(w)}} \right)$$

• AND gate: Let
$$t = \mathsf{depth}(w). \ \mu_w, \nu_w \in_{\$} \mathbb{Z}_p$$
,

$$\mathcal{K}_w = \left(K_{w,1} = g_1^{\mu_w}, K_{w,2} = g_1^{\nu_w}, K_{w,3} = g_t^{r_w - \mu_w r_{\mathbb{A}(w)} - \nu_w r_{\mathbb{B}(w)}} \right).$$

• Give $SK_{f,ID} = (f, ID, \mathcal{K}, {\mathcal{K}_w}_{w \in {1,...,\ell+q}})$ to the user.

• Define $\mathsf{RI} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ corresponding to RL using UL , i.e., if $\mathsf{ID} \in \mathsf{RL}$ and $(\mathsf{ID}, j) \in \mathsf{UL}$ include j in RI . Determine $\mathsf{SI} = \mathcal{N} \backslash \mathsf{RI}$.

2 $s \in_{\$} \mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$C_M = e(\Omega, A_{1,x_1}, \dots, A_{\ell,x_\ell})^s M = g_{\kappa}^{\alpha^{(N_{\max}+1)}\theta s \delta(x)} M,$$

$$C = g_1^s, \ C' = \left(Y \prod_{j \in \mathsf{SI}} \vartheta_{N_{\max}+1-j}\right)^s = \left(g_1^\gamma \prod_{j \in \mathsf{SI}} g_1^{\alpha^{(N_{\max}+1-j)}}\right)^s,$$

where $\delta(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} a_{i,x_i}$. Output $CT_{x,RL} = (x, RL, C_M, C, C')$.

Introduction	Preliminaries	Our RABE Constructions	Security	Conclusion
RABE-I				

● Output ⊥, if $[f(x) = 0] \lor [ID \in RL]$; otherwise, proceed to the next step.

$$D = e(A_{1,x_1}, \dots, A_{\ell,x_\ell}) = g_\ell^{\delta(x)},$$

$$\widehat{E} = e(\mathcal{K}, D, C) = g_\kappa^{(\alpha^{(u)}\theta\gamma - r_{\ell+q})s\delta(x)}.$$

Introduction	Preliminaries	Our RABE Constructions	Security	Conclusion
RABE-I RABE.Decry	vpt(PP,UL,CT	$_{x,RL},SK_{f,ID})$		

- Perform the bottom-up evaluation of the circuit. For every wire w with corresponding depth(w) = t, if f_w(x) = 0, compute nothing, otherwise, compute E_w = g^{r_ws\delta(x)}_{\ell+t+1} as follows:
 - Input wire:

$$E_w = e(\mathcal{K}_w, A_{1,x_1}, \dots, A_{w-1,x_{w-1}}, A_{w+1,x_{w+1}}, \dots, A_{\ell,x_\ell}, C) = g_{\ell+1+1}^{r_w s \delta(x)}.$$

• OR gate: If
$$f_{\mathbb{A}(w)}(x) = 1$$
,

 $E_w = e(E_{\mathbb{A}(w)}, K_{w,1})e(K_{w,3}, D, C) = g_{\ell+t+1}^{r_w s\delta(x)}.$

Alternatively, if $f_{\mathbb{A}(w)}(x)=0$ and hence $f_{\mathbb{B}(w)}(x)=1$,

$$E_w = e(E_{\mathbb{B}(w)}, K_{w,2})e(K_{w,4}, D, C) = g_{\ell+t+1}^{r_w s\delta(x)}.$$

• AND gate: Certainly $f_{\mathbb{A}(w)}(x) = f_{\mathbb{B}(w)}(x) = 1$.

$$E_w = e(E_{\mathbb{A}(w)}, K_{w,1})e(E_{\mathbb{B}(w)}, K_{w,2})e(K_{w,3}, D, C) = g_{\ell+t+1}^{r_w s \delta(x)}$$

Finally, $E_{\ell+q} = g_{\kappa}^{r_{\ell+q}s\delta(x)}$, as $f(x) = f_{\ell+q}(x) = 1$.

- Determine $RI \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ corresponding to RL using UL and obtain $SI = \mathcal{N} \setminus RI$. Since $ID \notin RL$, $u \in SI$.
- Setrieve the message by the following computation:

$$\begin{split} C_M \widehat{E} E_{\ell+q} e \big(\prod_{j \in \mathsf{SI} \setminus \{u\}} \vartheta_{N_{\max}+1-j+u}, Z, D, C \big) e \big(\vartheta_u, Z, D, C'\big)^{-1} \\ &= g_\kappa^{\alpha^{(N_{\max}+1)} \vartheta s \delta(x)} M \cdot g_\kappa^{(\alpha^{(u)} \theta \gamma - r_{\ell+q}) s \delta(x)} \cdot g_\kappa^{r_{\ell+q} s \delta(x)} \cdot \\ &\prod_{j \in \mathsf{SI} \setminus \{u\}} g_\kappa^{\alpha^{(N_{\max}+1-j+u)} \vartheta s \delta(x)} \cdot [g_\kappa^{\alpha^{(u)} \theta \gamma s \delta(x)} \cdot g_\kappa^{\alpha^{(u)} \theta \gamma s \delta(x)} \cdot \\ &g_\kappa^{\alpha^{(N_{\max}+1)} \theta s \delta(x)} \cdot \prod_{j \in \mathsf{SI} \setminus \{u\}} g_\kappa^{\alpha^{(N_{\max}+1-j+u)} \theta s \delta(x)}]^{-1} \\ &= M. \end{split}$$

Introduction	Preliminaries	Our RABE Constructions	Security	Conclusion
RABE-II RABE.Setur	$\mathbf{p}(1^{\lambda},\ell,d,N_{\max})$)		

- Choose two positive integers n, m suitably such that N_{max} ≤ (ⁿ_m). N = {j ∈ {1,..., 2ⁿ - 2} | HW(j) = m}.
 G^{MLM}(1^λ, κ = n+d+m-1) → PP_{MLM} = (G = (G₁,...,G_κ), g₁,...,g_κ).
 A_i = g^{a_i}_m for i = 1,..., ℓ where a₁,..., a_ℓ ∈_{\$} Z_p.
 ξ_ι = g^{α(2^ι)}₁ for ι = 0,..., n, Y = g^γ_{n-1}, Z = g^θ_d, Ω = g<sup>α(2^{n-1)θ}_κ, where α, γ, θ ∈_{\$} Z_p.
 Keep MK = (α, γ, θ) while publish PP = (PP_{MLM}, n, m, {A_i}_{i=1,...,ℓ},
 </sup>
- $\{\xi_{\iota}\}_{\iota=0,...,n}, Y, Z, \Omega\}$ along with UL = \emptyset .

Introduction	Preliminaries	Our RABE Constructions	Security	Conclusion
RABE-II rabe.KeyG	en(PP, MK, UL	$,ID,f=(\ell,q,d,\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B},Ga)$	ateType))	

- Assign to ID $u \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $(\cdot, u) \notin UL$, update $UL = UL \cup \{(ID, u)\}$.
- 2 $r_1, \ldots, r_{\ell+q} \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$ 3 $\mathcal{K} = g_{n+d-1}^{\alpha^{(u)}\theta\gamma - r_{\ell+q}}.$

RABE.KeyGen(PP, MK, UL, ID, $f = (\ell, q, d, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathsf{GateType}))$

Form key components for every wire w as follows: 4

• Input wire:
$$z_w \in_{\$} \mathbb{Z}_p$$
,
 $\mathcal{K}_w = (K_{w,1} = g_n^{r_w} e(A_w, g_{n-m})^{z_w} = g_n^{r_w} g_n^{a_w z_w}, K_{w,2} = g_n^{-z_w}).$
• OR gate: Let $t = \text{depth}(w)$. $\mu_w, \nu_w \in_{\$} \mathbb{Z}_p$,
 $\mathcal{K}_w = (K_{w,1} = g_1^{\mu_w}, K_{w,2} = g_1^{\nu_w}, K_{w,3} = g_{n+t-1}^{r_w - \mu_w r_{\mathbb{A}}(w)}, K_{w,4} = g_{n+t-1}^{r_w - \nu_w r_{\mathbb{B}}(w)}).$
• AND gate: Let $t = \text{depth}(w)$. $\mu_w, \nu_w \in_{\$} \mathbb{Z}_p$,
 $\mathcal{K}_w = (K_{w,1} = g_1^{\mu_w}, K_{w,2} = g_1^{\nu_w}, K_{w,3} = g_{n+t-1}^{r_w - \mu_w r_{\mathbb{A}}(w) - \nu_w r_{\mathbb{B}}(w)}).$
Hand SK_{f,ID} = $(f, \text{ID}, \mathcal{K}, \{\mathcal{K}_w\}_{w \in \{1, \dots, \ell+q\}})$ to the user.

RABE. Encrypt(PP, UL, $x = x_1 \dots x_\ell \in \{0, 1\}^\ell$, RL, $\overline{M} \in \mathbb{G}_\kappa$)

- Define $\mathsf{RI} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ corresponding to RL using UL and set $\mathsf{SI} = \mathcal{N} \backslash \mathsf{RI}$.
- Compute ϑ_{2^n-1-j} for all $j \in SI$ as follows, where $\vartheta_{\varpi} = q_{n-1}^{\alpha^{(\varpi)}}$ for positive 2 integer ϖ . For any $j \in SI \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, $j = \sum_{i \in J} 2^i$ where $J \subseteq \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, |J| = m. Thus, $2^n - 1 - j = \sum_{\nu \in \overline{J}} 2^{\nu}$ where $\overline{J} = \{0, ..., n-1\} \setminus J =$ $\{\iota_1, \ldots, \iota_{n-m}\}$ (say). So.

$$\vartheta_{2^n-1-j} = e(\xi_{\iota_1}, \dots, \xi_{\iota_{n-m}}, g_{m-1}) = g_{n-1}^{\alpha^{(2^n-1-j)}}$$

$$s \in_{\$} \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

$$C_{M} = \Omega^{s} M = g_{\kappa}^{\alpha^{(2^{n}-1)}\theta s} M, \ C = g_{m}^{s},$$

$$C_{i}' = A_{i}^{s} = g_{m}^{a_{i}s} \text{ for } i \in \mathcal{S}_{x} = \{i | i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \land x_{i} = 1\},$$

$$C'' = \left(Y \prod_{j \in \mathsf{SI}} \vartheta_{2^{n}-1-j}\right)^{s} = \left(g_{n-1}^{\gamma} \prod_{j \in \mathsf{SI}} g_{n-1}^{\alpha^{(2^{n}-1-j)}}\right)^{s}.$$

• Output $CT_{x,RL} = (x, RL, C_M, C, \{C'_i\}_{i \in S_x}, C'').$

Introduction	Preliminaries	Our RABE Constructions	Security	Conclusion
RABE-II	ypt(PP,UL,CT	r RI , SK f ID)		

Output ⊥, if [f(x) = 0] ∨ [ID ∈ RL]; otherwise, proceed to the next step.

 Ê = e(K, C) = e(g_{n+d-1}^{\alpha^{(u)}\theta\gamma - r_{\ell+q}}, g_m^s) = g_{\kappa}^{(\alpha^{(u)}\theta\gamma - r_{\ell+q})s}.
 ^(a)
 ⁽

Introduction	Preliminaries	Our RABE Constructions	Security	Conclusion
RABE-II RABE.Decry	/pt(PP,UL,CT	$(x, RI, SK_{f, ID})$		

③ Perform the bottom-up evaluation of the circuit. For every wire w with corresponding depth(w) = t, if $f_w(x) = 0$, compute nothing, otherwise, compute $E_w = g_{n+t+m-1}^{r_w s}$ as follows:

• Input wire:

$$E_w = e(K_{w,1}, C)e(K_{w,2}, C'_w) = g_{n+m}^{r_w s} = g_{n+1+m-1}^{r_w s}.$$

• OR gate: If $f_{\mathbb{A}(w)}(x) = 1$,

$$E_w = e(E_{\mathbb{A}(w)}, K_{w,1})e(K_{w,3}, C) = g_{n+t+m-1}^{r_w s}.$$

Alternatively, if $f_{\mathbb{A}(w)}(x)=0$ and hence $f_{\mathbb{B}(w)}(x)=1$,

$$E_w = e(E_{\mathbb{B}(w)}, K_{w,2})e(K_{w,4}, C) = g_{n+t+m-1}^{r_w s}.$$

• AND gate: Certainly $f_{\mathbb{A}(w)}(x) = f_{\mathbb{B}(w)}(x) = 1$.

$$E_w = e(E_{\mathbb{A}(w)}, K_{w,1})e(E_{\mathbb{B}(w)}, K_{w,2})e(K_{w,3}, C) = g_{n+t+m-1}^{r_ws}.$$

ally, $E_{\ell+q} = g_{\kappa}^{r_{\ell+q}s}$, as $f(x) = f_{\ell+q}(x) = 1$.

Fin

- Determine RI ⊆ N corresponding to RL using UL and obtain SI = $N \setminus RI$. As ID $\notin RL$, $u \in SI$.
- Solution Compute $\vartheta'_u = g_m^{\alpha^{(u)}}$ and $\vartheta_{2^n-1-j+u} = g_{n-1}^{\alpha^{(2^n-1-j+u)}}$ for all $j \in SI \setminus \{u\}$ as follows:
 - $\begin{array}{l} \text{(a)} \ (\text{Computing } \vartheta'_u) \text{ As } u \in \mathsf{SI} \subseteq \mathcal{N}, \ u = \sum_{\iota \in U} 2^\iota \text{ where } U = \{\iota'_1, \ldots, \iota'_m\} \subseteq \\ \{0, \ldots, n-1\} \ (\mathsf{say}). \ \mathsf{So}, \ \vartheta'_u = e(\xi_{\iota'_1}, \ldots, \xi_{\iota'_m}) = g^{\alpha^{(u)}}_m. \\ \text{(b)} \ (\text{Computing } \vartheta_{2^n 1 j + u} \ \text{for } j \in \mathsf{SI} \setminus \{u\}) \ 2^n 1 j = \sum_{\iota \in \overline{J}} 2^\iota \text{ where } \\ \overline{J} = \{\iota_1, \ldots, \iota_{n-m}\} \subseteq \{0, \ldots, n-1\}. \ U \ \cap \ \overline{J} = \varnothing \text{ only if } j = u. \text{ Since } \\ j \neq u, \ \exists \widehat{\iota} \in \overline{J} \cap U. \ \widehat{\iota} = \iota_{n-m} = \iota'_m \ (\mathsf{say}). \ \text{Then, } 2^n 1 j + u = \\ \sum_{\iota \in \overline{J} \setminus \{\widehat{\iota}\}} 2^\iota + \sum_{\iota \in U \setminus \{\widehat{\iota}\}} 2^\iota + 2^{\widehat{\iota} + 1}. \ \mathsf{So}, \\ \vartheta_{2^n 1 j + u} = e(\xi_{\iota_1}, \ldots, \xi_{\iota_{n-m-1}}, \xi_{\iota'_1}, \ldots, \xi_{\iota'_{m-1}}, \xi_{\widehat{\iota}+1}) = g^{\alpha^{(2^n 1 j + u)}}_{n-1}. \end{array}$

• Retrieve the message by the following computation:

$$C_{M}\widehat{E}E_{\ell+q}e(\prod_{j\in\mathsf{SI}\backslash\{u\}}\vartheta_{2^{n}-1-j+u},Z,C)e(\vartheta'_{u},Z,C'')^{-1}$$

$$=g_{\kappa}^{\alpha^{(2^{n}-1)}\theta_{s}}M\cdot g_{\kappa}^{(\alpha^{(u)}\theta\gamma-r_{\ell+q})s}\cdot g_{\kappa}^{r_{\ell+q}s}\cdot \prod_{j\in\mathsf{SI}\backslash\{u\}}g_{\kappa}^{\alpha^{(2^{n}-1-j+u)}\theta_{s}}\cdot [g_{\kappa}^{\alpha^{(u)}\theta\gamma_{s}}\cdot g_{\kappa}^{\alpha^{(2^{n}-1)}\theta_{s}}\cdot \prod_{j\in\mathsf{SI}\backslash\{u\}}g_{\kappa}^{\alpha^{(2^{n}-1-j+u)}\theta_{s}}]^{-1}$$

$$=M.$$

Theorem (RABE-I)

- RABE-I is secure in the selective revocation list model against CPA if the $(\ell + d + 1, N_{\max})$ -MDHE assumption holds for the underlying multilinear group generator \mathcal{G}^{MLM} .
- ℓ, d , and N_{\max} denote respectively the input length of decryption circuits, depth of the decryption circuits, and the maximum number of users supported by the system.

Theorem (RABE-II)

- RABE-II is secure in the selective revocation list model against CPA if the (n, d, m)-cMDHE assumption holds for the underlying multilinear group generator \mathcal{G}^{MLM} .
- n, m are two integers for which $N_{\max} \leq {n \choose m}$.

Multilinear Diffie-Hellman Exponent Assumption: $(\kappa,N)\text{-}\mathsf{MDHE}$

• It is hard to guess $\tilde{b} \in \{0, 1\}$ given $\varrho_{\tilde{b}} \leftarrow \mathcal{G}_{\tilde{b}}^{(\kappa, N)-\text{MDHE}}(1^{\lambda})$. • $\underline{\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{b}}^{(\kappa, N)-\text{MDHE}}(1^{\lambda})$: • $\mathcal{G}^{\text{MLM}}(1^{\lambda}, \kappa) \rightarrow \text{PP}_{\text{MLM}}$; • $\alpha, \varsigma, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{\kappa-2} \in_{\$} \mathbb{Z}_p$; • $\vartheta_j = g_1^{\alpha^{(j)}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, N, N + 2, \dots, 2N, \Upsilon = g_1^{\varsigma}, \tau_i = g_1^{\psi_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, \kappa - 2$; • $\Re_0 = g_{\kappa}^{\alpha^{(N+1)}\varsigma \prod_{i=1}^{\kappa-2} \psi_i}$, \Re_1 = some random element in \mathbb{G}_{κ} ; • $\varrho_{\tilde{b}} = (\text{PP}_{\text{MLM}}, \vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_N, \vartheta_{N+2}, \dots, \vartheta_{2N}, \Upsilon, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\kappa-2}, \Re_{\tilde{b}})$.

Compressed Multilinear Diffie-Hellman Exponent Assumption: (n, k, l)-cMDHE

• It is hard to guess $\widetilde{b} \in \{0, 1\}$ given $\varrho_{\widetilde{b}} \leftarrow \mathcal{G}_{\widetilde{b}}^{(n,k,l)\text{-cMDHE}}(1^{\lambda})$. • $\frac{\mathcal{G}_{\widetilde{b}}^{(n,k,l)\text{-cMDHE}}(1^{\lambda})$: • $\mathcal{G}^{\text{MLM}}(1^{\lambda}, \kappa = n + k + l - 1) \rightarrow \text{PP}_{\text{MLM}}$; • $\alpha, \varsigma, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_k \in_{\$} \mathbb{Z}_p$; • $\xi_{\iota} = g_1^{\alpha^{(2^{\iota})}} \text{ for } \iota = 0, \dots, n, \tau_h = g_1^{\psi_h} \text{ for } h = 1, \dots, k, \ \Upsilon = g_l^{\varsigma}$; • $\Re_0 = g_{\kappa}^{\alpha^{(2^n-1)}\varsigma} \prod_{h=1}^k \psi_h}, \ \Re_1 = \text{ some random element of } \mathbb{G}_{\kappa}$; • $\varrho_{\widetilde{b}} = (\text{PP}_{\text{MLM}}, \xi_0, \dots, \xi_n, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_k, \Upsilon, \Re_{\widetilde{b}})$.

tions Security

Efficiency

Conclusion

Complexity Analysis Communication and Storage

• <u>RABE-I</u>:

- only 3 group elements in the ciphertexts.
- number of decryption key components $\ell + 4q + 1$ in the worst case.
- $\bullet\,$ the number of PP components linear to $N_{\rm max}.$
- <u>RABE-II</u>:
 - the number of PP components linear to n, where $N_{\max} \leq {n \choose m}$, i.e., $\log N_{\max}$ approximately for a judicious choice of n and m.
 - number of ciphertext and decryption key components meant for revocation do not grow with $N_{\rm max}.$
- No previous RABE scheme with direct revocation could achieve such parameters.

tions

Efficiency

Conclusion

Complexity Analysis Computation

Table: Count of Multilinear Operation

RABE	RABE.Setup	RABE.KeyGen	RABE.Encrypt	RABE.Decrypt
RABE-I	$2\ell + 2N_{\max} + 2$	$2\ell + 4q + 2$	4	$\ell + 3q + 4$
RABE-II	$\ell + 2n + 5$	$4\ell + 4q + 3$	$\ell + 3$	$2\ell + 3q + 3$



- Designing an *adaptively* secure RABE scheme with *polynomial* security reduction under *standard* assumption while attaining the efficiency level of our constructions.
- Building a *revocable storage* ABE (RSABE) scheme with those parameters achieved by our work.



